arXiv:1510.03416v1 [math.NT] 13 Oct 2015

Deformations of $\Xi(s)=\Xi(1-s)$ *and the heat equation*

Johannes Löffler

Abstract This paper studies deformations of the well-known $\Xi(s)=\Xi(1-s)$ equation for the Riemann Ξ function satisfied by $\Xi_\rho(s):=\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}t^{\frac{s}{2}}\Psi(t)e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}$ where $\Psi(t)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^+}e^{-\pi n^2 t}$.

Introduction

Inspired by results of Jensen work of Jensen [7], Pólya [8], Brujin [1] and Newman [6] showed that certain classes of trigonometric integrals admit only real roots and proved that the integral kernel multiplication factors $\exp(-\rho\ln^2(t))$ with $\rho\in\mathbb{R}^+$ conserve and improve the "reality"of the roots in the following sense: Suppose $b>2$ and $\varphi(t)$ decays faster then t^{-b} at ∞ and t^b at 0. If we have $\overline{\varphi(t)}=\varphi(1/t)$ and the roots $\mathcal{M}\big[\varphi\big](\mathrm{i}z)=0\Rightarrow\Im(z)<\Delta$ then the roots $\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\varphi\big](\mathrm{i}z)=0$ satisfy $\Im(z)<\sqrt{\Delta^2-4\rho^2}$. We refer to the recent articles [9], [10], [11] for further considerations.

The universal factors $e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}$ also play a key role in our calculations, they serve as a neat regularization, but the spirit of our considerations is different, we concentrate on the inhomogeneity $(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}-1)/2$ in the well known functional equation $\Psi(t)=t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\left(1/t\right)+(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}-1)/2$ obtained by Poisson summation: Let $\mathcal{M}\left[f\right](s)=\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}t^s f(t)$ denote the Mellin transform. We have the well known Gauss identity

$$\mathcal{M}\left[e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\right](s)=\sqrt{\pi/\rho}e^{\frac{s^2}{4\rho}} \tag{0.1}$$

here we substituted $x=\ln(t)$ to rewrite $\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\ln^2(t)}\big](0)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}x\;e^{-x^2}=\sqrt{\pi}$. Notice in 0.1 the prototype of an essential singularity in ρ appears.

Consider for $m\in\mathbb{N}$ the sum $\Xi_\rho^m(s):=\sum_{0\le l\le m}\Xi_\rho(s+l)$ with $\Xi_\rho(s):=\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi\cdot e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\big](s/2)$. It is proved in [5] that Ξ_ρ^m satisfy $\forall\rho\in\mathbb{R}^+$ the telescope identity

$$\Xi_\rho^m(s)-\Xi_\rho^m(1-m-s)=\sqrt{\pi/\rho}\Big(e^{\frac{(s-1)^2}{16\rho}}-e^{\frac{(s+m)^2}{16\rho}}\Big)/2 \tag{0.2}$$

Formula 0.2 implies the equivalences $\Xi_\rho^m(s)-\Xi_\rho^m(1-m-s)=0\Leftrightarrow s\in\frac{1-m}{2}+16\rho\pi\mathrm{i}\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{1+m}$ and in the same manner we have $\tilde{\Xi}_\rho^m(s)+(-1)^m\tilde{\Xi}_\rho^m(1-m-s)=0\Leftrightarrow s\in\frac{1-m}{2}+16\rho\pi\mathrm{i}\big(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{1+m}\big)$ where $\tilde{\Xi}_\rho^m(s):=\sum_{l=0}^m(-1)^l\tilde{\Xi}_\rho(s+l)$ with $\tilde{\Xi}_\rho(s)=(1-2\,\mathrm{id})\Xi_\rho(s)+16\rho\partial_s\Xi_\rho(s)$.

One of our main new results, based on the heat equation $\left(\partial_\rho+4\partial_s^2\right)\Xi_\rho(s)=0$ and Lagrange's variation of parameters method, is the formula

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho}}\Xi_\rho(s)=&\frac{e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)+e^{\frac{1}{64\rho}}\Xi_\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\\&+\frac{1}{2\rho}\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\;\sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[(2t^2\partial_t^2\Psi+3t\partial_t\Psi)e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{0.3}$$

and the remaining integral 0.3 is symmetric with respect to $s\to1-s$. We also sketch how to iterate this procedure and have an analogous result 3.13 for $\tilde{\Xi}_\rho$ and for the function $\mathcal{M}\big[(2t^2\partial_t^2\Psi+3t\partial_t\Psi)e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ that motivated the considerations of Pólya, Brujin and Newman 3.14.

The functional equation 0.2 for $m = 0$ also hides behind more involved expressions obtained by a study of the family

$$\Xi(\rho, \vec{s}, \vec{\lambda}\,) := \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t_1}{t_1} \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t_d}{t_d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d t_i^{s_i/2} \Psi^{\lambda_i}(t_i) \right) e^{-\sum_{1 \le i,j \le d} \rho_{ij} \ln(t_i) \ln(t_j)} \tag{0.4}$$

where ρ is a symmetric $d \times d$ matrix underlying certain convergence restrictions, $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^d$. The case $\vec{\lambda} = 0$ is standard 1.1 and in this paper we only study $\Xi(\rho, \vec{s}) := \Xi(\rho, \vec{s}, (1, \cdots, 1))$, but algebraic the other cases are also suitable for analogous computations if $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^d$. To consider the general case $\vec{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is motivated by the van der Geer and Schoof two-variable zeta function [4].

Writing $t^s = e^{s \ln(t)}$ the vector $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ can be interpreted as a linear term while in this sense ρ corresponds to a quadratic term in the exponent of the integral kernel. Notice we have the symmetric interpretations $t_i^{-\rho_{ij} \ln(t_j)} = e^{-\rho_{ij} \ln(t_i) \ln(t_j)} = t_j^{-\rho_{ij} \ln(t_i)}$.

Some evidence for a connection of the Riemann zeros and eigenvalues of large random matrices has been put forward, we refer the reader for example to the reference [3]. Functional equations for the family $\Xi(\rho, \vec{s}\,)$ obtained by integration, maybe in combination with the heat equations $\left(\partial_{\rho_{ij}} + \frac{8}{1+\delta_i^j} \partial^2_{s_i s_j}\right) \Xi(\rho, \vec{s}) = 0$ could imply some insights.

1 *Comments on the family* $\Xi(\rho, \vec{s}, \vec{n}\,)$

1.1 *The* exp *part of the family*

The multi-dimensional generalization of 0.1 is the well-known Gaussian integral identity

$$\mathrm{e}(\rho, \vec{s}\,) := \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t_1}{t_1} \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t_d}{t_d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d t_i^{s_i/2} \right) e^{-\sum_{1 \le i,j \le d} \rho_{ij} \ln(t_i) \ln(t_j)} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^d}{\det(\rho)}} \exp\left(\frac{\vec{s} \cdot \rho^{-1} \vec{s}}{16} \right)$$

Notice $\mathrm{e}(\rho, \vec{s}\,) = \Xi(\rho, \vec{s}, \vec{0})$, we just use this notation to avoid redundant symbols. Clearly if for some ρ this integrals converge absolute $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ then also 0.4 will converge absolute. If we choose ρ_{ij} with $i \neq j$ purely imaginary we can estimate $|\Xi(\rho, \vec{s}\,)| \le \prod_{i=1}^d \Xi_{\Re(\rho_{ii})}(\Re(s_i))$ and hence the integral clearly converges if all diagonal entries satisfy $\Re(\rho_{ii}) > 0$.

$(\rho, \vec{s}) \sim (\tilde{\rho}, \vec{\tilde{s}}) \Leftrightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_d \in \mathbb{R}^+ : (s_i = \tilde{s}_i/\lambda_i) \wedge (\rho_{kl} = \tilde{\rho}_{kl}/\lambda_k \lambda_l)$ defines a equivalence relation and by substitution this integrals essentially only depend on their equivalence class:

$$\mathrm{e}(\rho, \vec{s}\,) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d \lambda_i} \mathrm{e}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}/\lambda_1^2 & . & \rho_{1d}/\lambda_1 \lambda_d \\ . & . & . \\ \rho_{1d}/\lambda_1 \lambda_d & . & \rho_{dd}/\lambda_d^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} s_1/\lambda_1 \\ . \\ s_d/\lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

1.2 *Factorisation conditions*

Let us for a symmetric $d \times d$ matrix ρ denote some 2×2 sub-matrix determinants by

$$\mathcal{R}^\rho_{ik} := \rho_{ii} \rho_{kk} - \rho_{ik}^2$$

$$\mathcal{T}^\rho_{ijk} := \rho_{ij} \rho_{kk} - \rho_{ik} \rho_{jk}$$

We substitute $\Psi(t_k) = t_k^{-1/2} \Psi\left(1/t_k\right) + (t_k^{-1/2} - 1)/2$, multiply out the kernel of the integral, use Fubini's theorem and calculate two 1 dimensional integrals with 0.1 and yield:

***Lemma* 1.2.1.** *Suppose $\Xi(\rho,\vec{s}\,)$ converges absolutely $\forall \vec{s}\in\mathbb{C}^d$. The $s_k \to 1-s_k$ relation*

$$\Xi(\rho,\vec{s}\,) = \Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & . & -\rho_{1k} & . & \rho_{1d} \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ -\rho_{1k} & . & \rho_{kk} & . & -\rho_{kd} \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ \rho_{1d} & . & -\rho_{kd} & . & \rho_{dd} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ . \\ 1-s_k \\ . \\ s_d \end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{1.1}$$

$$+\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho_{kk}}}\frac{e^{\frac{(s_k-1)^2}{16\rho_{kk}}}}{2}\Xi\left(\rho_k, \begin{pmatrix} s_1-(s_k-1)\frac{\rho_{1k}}{\rho_{kk}} \\ . \\ s_{k-1}-(s_k-1)\frac{\rho_{k-1k}}{\rho_{kk}} \\ s_{k+1}-(s_k-1)\frac{\rho_{k+1k}}{\rho_{kk}} \\ . \\ s_d-(s_k-1)\frac{\rho_{dk}}{\rho_{kk}} \end{pmatrix}\right) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho_{kk}}}\frac{e^{\frac{s_k^2}{16\rho_{kk}}}}{2}\Xi\left(\rho_k, \begin{pmatrix} s_1-s_k\frac{\rho_{1k}}{\rho_{kk}} \\ . \\ s_{k-1}-s_k\frac{\rho_{k-1k}}{\rho_{kk}} \\ s_{k+1}-s_k\frac{\rho_{k+1k}}{\rho_{kk}} \\ . \\ s_d-s_k\frac{\rho_{dk}}{\rho_{kk}} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

holds, where the $d-1\times d-1$ matrix ρ_k is obtained from ρ by deleting the kth column and kth row and acting on the other coefficients by $\rho_{ii}\to\mathcal{R}^\rho_{ik}/\rho_{kk}$ for the diagonal and $\rho_{ij}\to\mathcal{T}^\rho_{ijk}/\rho_{kk}$ for the off-diagonal entries.

Observe if $\rho_{ij}=0$ for $i\neq j$ we can isolate the s_j and ρ_{jj} dependence with the product factor $\Xi_{\rho_{jj}}(s_j)$. The factorisation condition $\mathcal{T}^\rho_{ijk}=0$ is obviously invariant under complex scalings of ρ.

2 *Some calculations for* $d=2$ *and* $d=3$

***Lemma* 2.0.2.** *Let $\Re(\rho_{ii})>0$ and $\det(\Re(\rho))>0$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$. $\forall\vec{s}\in\mathbb{C}^2$ we have*

$$\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}\\ \rho_{12}&\rho_{22}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}s_1\\ s_2\end{pmatrix}\right)-\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}\\ \rho_{12}&\rho_{22}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}1-s_1\\ 1-s_2\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{2.1}$$

$$=\frac{\pi e^{\frac{\rho_{22}s_1^2+\rho_{11}s_2^2-2\rho_{12}s_1s_2}{16\det(\rho)}}}{2^2\sqrt{\det(\rho)}}\Big[1+e^{\frac{\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}-2\rho_{12}-2\rho_{22}s_1-2\rho_{11}s_2+2\rho_{12}(s_1+s_2)}{16\det(\rho)}}$$

$$-e^{\frac{\rho_{22}-2\rho_{22}s_1+2\rho_{12}s_2}{16\det(\rho)}}-e^{\frac{\rho_{11}-2\rho_{11}s_2+2\rho_{12}s_1}{16\det(\rho)}}\Big]$$

$$+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{\rho_{22}}}\Big[e^{\frac{(s_2-1)^2}{16\rho_{22}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{22}}\Big(1-s_1-\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{22}}(1-s_2)\Big)-e^{\frac{s_2^2}{16\rho_{22}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{22}}\Big(1-s_1+\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{22}}s_2\Big)\Big]$$

$$+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{\rho_{11}}}\Big[e^{\frac{(s_1-1)^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(1-s_2-\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}(1-s_1)\Big)-e^{\frac{s_1^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(1-s_2+\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}s_1\Big)\Big]$$

$$=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{\rho_{11}}}\Big[e^{\frac{(s_1-1)^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(s_2+\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}(1-s_1)\Big)-e^{\frac{s_1^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(s_2-\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}s_1\Big)\Big]$$

$$+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{\rho_{22}}}\Big[e^{\frac{(s_2-1)^2}{16\rho_{22}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{22}}\Big(1-s_1-\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{22}}(1-s_2)\Big)-e^{\frac{s_2^2}{16\rho_{22}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{22}}\Big(1-s_1+\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{22}}s_2\Big)\Big] \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of the more general statement 2.0.6. We have $\det(\rho)=\rho_{11}\rho_{22}-\rho_{12}^2=\mathcal{R}^\rho_{12}$ and the formula

$$\mathrm{e}(\rho,\vec{s}\,)=\pi\exp\left(\frac{\rho_{11}s_2^2+\rho_{22}s_1^2-2\rho_{12}s_1s_2}{16\det(\rho)}\right)/\sqrt{\det(\rho)} \tag{2.3}$$

In practice the conditions are satisfied if $\Re(\rho_{11})>0<\Re(\rho_{22})$ and $\Re(\rho_{12})\leq\sqrt{\Re(\rho_{11})\Re(\rho_{22})}$. □

***Corollary* 2.0.3.** *Let* $\Re(\rho_{11}) > 0$ *and* $\det(\Re(\rho)) > 0$. $\forall s \in \mathbb{C}$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11} & \rho_{12}\\ \rho_{12} & \rho_{11}\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}s\\ s\end{pmatrix}\right) - \Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11} & \rho_{12}\\ \rho_{12} & \rho_{11}\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s\\ 1-s\end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\rho_{11}}}\Big[e^{\frac{(s-1)^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(1-s-\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}(1-s)\Big) - e^{\frac{s^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(1-s+\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}s\Big)\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

if and only if $s \in \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho_{12}}{2(\rho_{11}-\rho_{12})} - 8\frac{\det(\rho)}{\rho_{11}-\rho_{12}}\left[2\pi\mathrm{i}\mathbb{Z} + \ln\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1-e^{\frac{-\rho_{12}}{8\det(\rho)}}}\right)\right]$. *We also have*

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \quad & e^{\frac{s^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(1-s-\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}s\Big) - e^{\frac{(1-s)^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(1-s+\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}(1-s)\Big) \\ & + e^{\frac{(s-1)^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(s-\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}(1-s)\Big) - e^{\frac{s^2}{16\rho_{11}}}\Xi_{\det(\rho)/\rho_{11}}\Big(s+\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}s\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

if and only if $s \in \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho_{12}}{2(\rho_{11}+\rho_{12})} - 8\frac{\det(\rho)}{\rho_{11}+\rho_{12}}\left[2\pi\mathrm{i}\mathbb{Z} + \ln\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1-e^{\frac{\rho_{12}}{8\det(\rho)}}}\right)\right]$.

Proof. We choose $\rho_{11} = \rho_{22}$. For the first equivalence we consider $s_1 = s_2 = s$: In this case 2 of the 4 exp function terms in 2.1 are identical and the statement equivalent to the quadratic equation $0 = 1 - 2e^{\frac{\rho_{11}}{16\det(\rho)}}e^{\frac{-2\rho_{11}s+2\rho_{12}s}{16\det(\rho)}} + e^{\frac{2\rho_{11}-2\rho_{12}}{16\det(\rho)}}\left(e^{\frac{-2\rho_{11}s+2\rho_{12}s}{16\det(\rho)}}\right)^2$. For the second equivalence we choose $s_1 = s$ and $s_2 = 1-s$, here we obviously have $\Xi(\rho,(s,1-s)) - \Xi(\rho,(1-s,s)) = 0$. □

The following Lemma also concerns the roots of the inhomogeneity in 2.1:

***Lemma* 2.0.4.** *If* $\rho_{12} = \frac{1}{32\pi i n} \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{(32\pi n)^2}}$ *with* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *we have*

$$0 = 1 + e^{\frac{2\gamma-2\rho_{12}-2\gamma s_1-2\gamma s_2+2\rho_{12}(s_1+s_2)}{16(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}} - e^{\frac{\gamma-2\gamma s_1+2\rho_{12}s_2}{16(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}} - e^{\frac{\gamma-2\gamma s_2+2\rho_{12}s_1}{16(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}}$$

if and only if $s_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\frac{1}{32\pi i n} \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{(32\pi n)^2}}}s_2 + 2\frac{n'}{n}$ *or* $s_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\frac{1}{32\pi i n} \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{(32\pi n)^2}}}s_1 + 2\frac{n'}{n}$ *with* $n' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We study the symmetric case $\rho_{11} = \rho_{22} = \gamma$ and consider the roots of

$$(X_1X_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + e^{\frac{-\rho_{12}}{8(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}}Z^2(X_1X_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2Z\cosh\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho_{12}}{-\gamma+\rho_{12}}\right)\ln\left(\frac{X_1}{X_2}\right)\right)$$

where we set $X_i = e^{\frac{-\gamma+\rho_{12}}{8(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}s_i}$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $Z = e^{\frac{\gamma}{16(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}}$. Hence we yield

$$Z = \frac{\cosh\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho_{12}}{-\gamma+\rho_{12}}\right)\ln\left(\frac{X_1}{X_2}\right)\right) \pm \sqrt{\cosh^2\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho_{12}}{-\gamma+\rho_{12}}\right)\ln\left(\frac{X_1}{X_2}\right)\right) - e^{\frac{-\rho_{12}}{8(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}}}}{e^{\frac{-\rho_{12}}{8(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}}(X_1X_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

If $e^{\frac{-\rho_{12}}{8(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{12} = \frac{1}{32\pi i n} \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{(32\pi n)^2}}$ we can rewrite the previous equation with $\cosh^2(x) - 1 = \sinh^2(x)$ to $e^{\frac{\gamma}{16(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}}(X_1X_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{X_1}{X_2}\right)^{\pm\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\rho_{12}}{-\gamma+\rho_{12}}\right)}$ hence we yield $2\pi i n' + \frac{-\gamma+\rho_{12}}{16(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}(s_1+s_2) = \pm(s_1-s_2)\frac{-\gamma-\rho_{12}}{16(\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2)}$ and this is equivalent to $s_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\rho_{12}}s_2 + 32\pi i n'\frac{\gamma^2-\rho_{12}^2}{\rho_{12}} \Leftrightarrow s_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\frac{1}{32\pi i n} \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{(32\pi n)^2}}}s_2 + 2\frac{n'}{n}$ or the equation where we exchange 1 and 2. □

***Proposition* 2.0.5.** *Let* $\Re(\rho_{ii}) > 0$ *and* $\det(\Re(\rho)) > 0$ *for* $i \in \{1,2\}$. $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^2$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x\, \mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho_{22}\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\Big(\frac{s_2}{2} + 2(\rho_{22}-\rho_{12})x\Big) e^{-(\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}-2\rho_{12})x^2 + \frac{s_1-s_2}{2}x} \tag{2.6}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}-2\rho_{12}}}\, e^{\frac{(s_1-s_2)^2}{16(\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}-2\rho_{12})}} \mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\frac{\rho_{11}\rho_{22}-\rho_{12}^2}{\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}-2\rho_{12}}\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s_1\rho_{22}+s_2\rho_{11}-(s_1+s_2)\rho_{12}}{2(\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}-2\rho_{12})}\right)$$

Proof. We apply Fubini's theorem on $\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t_1}{t_1}\cdots\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t_d}{t_d}\Psi(\prod_{l=1}^d t_l) e^{-\sum_{1\le i,j\le d}\rho_{ij}\ln(t_i)\ln(t_j)}\prod_{l=1}^d t_l^{\frac{s_l}{2}}$ in the case $d=2$. We did not use $\Psi(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(1/t) + \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}-1}{2}$ to obtain this mean value property, only that the expression is absolutely integrable was relevant, *i.e.* we can replace Ψ by other test functions. For $\rho_{12}=0$, $\rho_{22}=\gamma$, $\rho_{11}=\rho\gamma/(\gamma-\rho)$ and $s_1=s_2=2s$ formula 2.6 reduces to $\mathcal{M}[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}](s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}q\, \mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\gamma\ln^2(\cdot)}\big](q)\exp(-\frac{(q-s)^2}{4(\gamma-\rho)})/\sqrt{4\pi(\gamma-\rho)}$, where we substituted $2\gamma x = q$. □

***Lemma* 2.0.6.** *Assume* ρ *satisfies the inequalities* $\Re(\rho_{ii}) > 0$ *for* $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, $\Re\big(\mathcal{R}_{ij}^{\Re(\rho)}\big) > 0$ *for* $i,j \in \{1,2,3\}$ *with* $i \neq j$ *and* $\det(\Re(\rho)) > 0$. *The following identity holds* $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^3$

$$\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{12}&\rho_{22}&\rho_{23}\\ \rho_{13}&\rho_{23}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}s_1\\ s_2\\ s_3\end{pmatrix}\right) - \Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{12}&\rho_{22}&\rho_{23}\\ \rho_{13}&\rho_{23}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s_1\\ 1-s_2\\ 1-s_3\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{2.7}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^2}\big[\mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1,s_2-1,s_3) - \mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1-1,s_2,s_3-1) + \mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1-1,s_2,s_3) - \mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1-1,s_2-1,s_3)$$
$$\mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1-1,s_2-1,s_3-1) - \mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1,s_2,s_3) + \mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1,s_2,s_3-1) - \mathrm{e}(\rho,s_1,s_2-1,s_3-1)\big]$$
$$+ \frac{\pi}{2^2}\Big[\mathcal{C}_3^\rho(s_1,s_2,s_3) - \mathcal{C}_3^\rho(s_1,s_2-1,s_3) + \mathcal{C}_3^\rho(s_1-1,s_2-1,s_3) - \mathcal{C}_3^\rho(s_1-1,s_2,s_3)$$
$$+ \mathcal{C}_2^\rho(s_1,s_3,s_2) - \mathcal{C}_2^\rho(s_1,s_3-1,s_2) + \mathcal{C}_2^\rho(s_1-1,s_3-1,s_2) - \mathcal{C}_2^\rho(s_1-1,s_3,s_2)$$
$$+ \mathcal{C}_1^\rho(s_2,s_3,s_1) - \mathcal{C}_1^\rho(s_2,s_3-1,s_1) + \mathcal{C}_1^\rho(s_2-1,s_3-1,s_1) - \mathcal{C}_1^\rho(s_2-1,s_3,s_1)\Big]$$
$$+ \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2^2}\Bigg[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{11}}}\exp\left(\frac{(s_1-1)^2}{16\rho_{11}}\right)\Xi\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{11}}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{R}_{12}^\rho & \mathcal{T}_{231}^\rho\\ \mathcal{T}_{231}^\rho & \mathcal{R}_{13}^\rho\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s_2+(s_1-1)\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}\\ 1-s_3+(s_1-1)\frac{\rho_{13}}{\rho_{11}}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{11}}}\exp\left(\frac{s_1^2}{16\rho_{11}}\right)\Xi\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{11}}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{R}_{12}^\rho & \mathcal{T}_{231}^\rho\\ \mathcal{T}_{231}^\rho & \mathcal{R}_{13}^\rho\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s_2+s_1\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{11}}\\ 1-s_3+s_1\frac{\rho_{13}}{\rho_{11}}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{22}}}\exp\left(\frac{(s_2-1)^2}{16\rho_{22}}\right)\Xi\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{22}}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{R}_{12}^\rho & \mathcal{T}_{132}^\rho\\ \mathcal{T}_{132}^\rho & \mathcal{R}_{23}^\rho\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s_1+(s_2-1)\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{22}}\\ 1-s_3+(s_2-1)\frac{\rho_{23}}{\rho_{22}}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{22}}}\exp\left(\frac{s_2^2}{16\rho_{22}}\right)\Xi\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{22}}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{R}_{12}^\rho & \mathcal{T}_{132}^\rho\\ \mathcal{T}_{132}^\rho & \mathcal{R}_{23}^\rho\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s_1+s_2\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{22}}\\ 1-s_3+s_2\frac{\rho_{23}}{\rho_{22}}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{33}}}\exp\left(\frac{(s_3-1)^2}{16\rho_{33}}\right)\Xi\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{33}}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{R}_{13}^\rho & \mathcal{T}_{123}^\rho\\ \mathcal{T}_{123}^\rho & \mathcal{R}_{23}^\rho\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s_1+(s_3-1)\frac{\rho_{13}}{\rho_{33}}\\ 1-s_2+(s_3-1)\frac{\rho_{23}}{\rho_{33}}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{33}}}\exp\left(\frac{s_3^2}{16\rho_{33}}\right)\Xi\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{33}}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{R}_{13}^\rho & \mathcal{T}_{123}^\rho\\ \mathcal{T}_{123}^\rho & \mathcal{R}_{23}^\rho\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1-s_1+s_3\frac{\rho_{13}}{\rho_{33}}\\ 1-s_2+s_3\frac{\rho_{23}}{\rho_{33}}\end{pmatrix}\right)\Bigg]$$

where we denote for $k \in \{1,2,3\}$ *by* $\mathcal{C}_k^\rho$ *the combination*

$$\mathcal{C}_k^\rho(x,y,z) := \sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le 3\\ i\neq k\neq j}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{ij}^\rho}}\exp\left(\frac{\rho_{jj}x^2+\rho_{ii}y^2-2\rho_{ij}xy}{16\mathcal{R}_{ij}^\rho}\right)\Xi_{\frac{\det(\rho)}{\mathcal{R}_{ij}^\rho}}\left(1-z-\frac{x\mathcal{T}_{kij}^\rho + y\mathcal{T}_{kji}^\rho}{\mathcal{R}_{ij}^\rho}\right)$$

Proof. Let Σ_d denotes the group of permutations of d elements and we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d}\Psi(t_i)=\frac{1}{d!}\sum_{\substack{0\leq l\leq d\\ \sigma\in\Sigma_d}}\binom{d}{l}\prod_{i=1}^{l}t_{\sigma(i)}^{-1/2}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{t_{\sigma(i)}}\right)\prod_{j=1}^{d-l}\frac{t_{\sigma(j)}^{-1/2}-1}{2} \tag{2.8}$$

Explicit the determinant of a symmetric 3×3 matrix ρ is given by the formula $\det(\rho)=\rho_{11}\rho_{22}\rho_{33}+2\rho_{12}\rho_{13}\rho_{23}-\rho_{11}\rho_{23}^2-\rho_{22}\rho_{13}^2-\rho_{33}\rho_{12}^2$. Substitution in 2.3 implies the expression

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}t_1}{t_1}\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}t_2}{t_2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2}t_i^{s_i/2}\right)e^{-\sum_{1\leq i,j=3}\rho_{ij}\ln(t_i)\ln(t_j)} \\ &=\frac{\pi e^{\frac{\rho_{11}s_2^2+\rho_{22}s_1^2-2\rho_{12}s_1s_2}{16(\rho_{11}\rho_{22}-\rho_{12}^2)}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{11}\rho_{22}-\rho_{12}^2}}e^{-\left(\rho_{33}+\frac{2\rho_{12}\rho_{13}\rho_{23}-\rho_{11}\rho_{23}^2-\rho_{22}\rho_{13}^2}{\rho_{11}\rho_{22}-\rho_{12}^2}\right)\ln^2(t_3)}t_3^{\frac{s_1(\rho_{12}\rho_{23}-\rho_{22}\rho_{13})+s_2(\rho_{12}\rho_{13}-\rho_{11}\rho_{23})}{2(\rho_{11}\rho_{22}-\rho_{12}^2)}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

hence we have

$$\mathrm{e}(\rho,\vec{s})=\frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\det(\rho)}}\exp\Big(\frac{\sum_{1\leq k\leq 3}s_k^2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 3,i\neq k\neq j}\mathcal{R}_{ij}^{\rho}+2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 3}s_is_j\sum_{1\leq k\leq 3}\mathcal{T}_{ijk}^{\rho}}{16\det(\rho)}\Big)$$

□

***Lemma* 2.0.7.** *Assume ρ satisfies the inequalities $\Re(\rho_{ii})>0$ for $i\in\{1,2,3\}$, $\Re\big(\mathcal{R}_{ij}^{\Re(\rho)}\big)>0$ for $i,j\in\{1,2,3\}$ with $i\neq j$ and $\det(\Re(\rho))>0$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi\left(\rho,\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+s_1}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_2}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_3}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)&=\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&-\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{12}&\rho_{22}&-\rho_{23}\\ -\rho_{13}&-\rho_{23}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+s_1}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_2}{2}\\ \frac{1-s_3}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\frac{e^{\frac{1+s_3^2}{64\rho_{33}}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{33}}}\Bigg[e^{\frac{-s_3}{32\rho_{33}}}\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}-\frac{\rho_{13}^2}{\rho_{33}}&\rho_{12}-\frac{\rho_{13}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{33}}\\ \rho_{12}-\frac{\rho_{13}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{33}}&\rho_{22}-\frac{\rho_{23}^2}{\rho_{33}}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+s_1+(1-s_3)\rho_{13}/\rho_{33}}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_2+(1-s_3)\rho_{23}/\rho_{33}}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &-e^{\frac{s_3}{32\rho_{33}}}\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}-\frac{\rho_{13}^2}{\rho_{33}}&\rho_{12}-\frac{\rho_{13}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{33}}\\ \rho_{12}-\frac{\rho_{13}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{33}}&\rho_{22}-\frac{\rho_{23}^2}{\rho_{33}}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+s_1-(1+s_3)\rho_{13}/\rho_{33}}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_2-(1+s_3)\rho_{23}/\rho_{33}}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&=\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&-\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{12}&\rho_{22}&-\rho_{23}\\ -\rho_{13}&-\rho_{23}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1-s_1}{2}\\ \frac{1-s_2}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_3}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\frac{e^{\frac{1+s_1^2}{64\rho_{11}}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{11}}}\Bigg[e^{\frac{-s_1}{32\rho_{11}}}\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{22}-\frac{\rho_{12}^2}{\rho_{11}}&\rho_{23}-\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\rho_{11}}\\ \rho_{23}-\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\rho_{11}}&\rho_{33}-\frac{\rho_{13}^2}{\rho_{11}}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+s_2+(1-s_1)\rho_{12}/\rho_{11}}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_3+(1-s_1)\rho_{13}/\rho_{11}}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &-e^{\frac{s_1}{32\rho_{11}}}\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{22}-\frac{\rho_{12}^2}{\rho_{11}}&\rho_{23}-\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\rho_{11}}\\ \rho_{23}-\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\rho_{11}}&\rho_{33}-\frac{\rho_{13}^2}{\rho_{11}}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+s_2-(1+s_1)\rho_{12}/\rho_{11}}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_3-(1+s_1)\rho_{13}/\rho_{11}}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\Bigg]\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\frac{e^{\frac{1+s_2^2}{64\rho_{22}}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{22}}}\Bigg[e^{\frac{-s_2}{32\rho_{22}}}\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}-\frac{\rho_{12}^2}{\rho_{22}}&-\rho_{13}+\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{22}}\\ -\rho_{13}+\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{22}}&\rho_{33}-\frac{\rho_{23}^2}{\rho_{22}}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1-s_1-(1-s_2)\rho_{12}/\rho_{22}}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_3+(1-s_2)\rho_{23}/\rho_{22}}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ &-e^{\frac{s_2}{32\rho_{22}}}\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}-\frac{\rho_{12}^2}{\rho_{22}}&-\rho_{13}+\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{22}}\\ -\rho_{13}+\frac{\rho_{12}\rho_{23}}{\rho_{22}}&\rho_{33}-\frac{\rho_{23}^2}{\rho_{22}}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1-s_1+(1+s_2)\rho_{12}/\rho_{22}}{2}\\ \frac{1+s_3-(1+s_2)\rho_{23}/\rho_{22}}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)\Bigg]\end{aligned}$$

Proof. 2.10 arises by a comparison of two calculations with 1.1, a computation corresponding to

$$\left(\frac{1+s_1}{2},\frac{1+s_2}{2},\frac{1+s_3}{2}\right)\to\left(\frac{1+s_1}{2},\frac{1+s_2}{2},\frac{1-s_3}{2}\right)$$

and a computation corresponding to the passage

$$\left(\frac{1+s_1}{2},\frac{1+s_2}{2},\frac{1+s_3}{2}\right)\to\left(\frac{1-s_1}{2},\frac{1+s_2}{2},\frac{1+s_3}{2}\right)\to\left(\frac{1-s_1}{2},\frac{1-s_2}{2},\frac{1+s_3}{2}\right)$$

□

2.1 *Integrals related to* $\Xi_\rho(s)+\Xi_\rho(1-s)$

***Proposition* 2.1.1.** *Suppose* $\Re(\gamma)>0<\Re(\rho)$ *and that the* 3×3 *matrix* ρ *with* $\rho_{33}:=\gamma$, $\rho_{11}=\rho_{22}=\rho+s^2\gamma$ *and* $\rho_{13}=\rho_{23}=s\gamma$, $\rho_{12}=-s^2\gamma$ *satisfies* $\Re(\rho_{ii})>0$ *for* $i\in\{1,2,3\}$, $\mathcal{R}_{ij}^{\Re(\rho)}>0$ *and* $\det(\Re(\rho))>0$ *for* $i,j\in\{1,2,3\}$ *with* $i\neq j$. *We have*

$$\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho+s^2\gamma & s^2\gamma & s\gamma\\ s^2\gamma & \rho+s^2\gamma & s\gamma\\ s\gamma & s\gamma & \gamma\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)=\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho+s^2\gamma & s^2\gamma & -s\gamma\\ s^2\gamma & \rho+s^2\gamma & -s\gamma\\ -s\gamma & -s\gamma & \gamma\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho^2+2\rho\gamma s^2}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & \frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\\ \frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & \frac{\rho\gamma}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho+2\gamma s^2}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\\ \frac{\rho+\gamma s^2+\gamma s}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\end{pmatrix}\right)-\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho^2+2\rho\gamma s^2}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & \frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\\ \frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & \frac{\rho\gamma}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\\ \frac{\rho+\gamma s^2-\gamma s}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ =&\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho^2+2\rho\gamma s^2}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & -\frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\\ -\frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & \frac{\rho\gamma}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho+2\gamma s^2}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\\ \frac{\rho+\gamma s^2-\gamma s}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\end{pmatrix}\right)-\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho^2+2\rho\gamma s^2}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & -\frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\\ -\frac{\rho\gamma s}{\rho+\gamma s^2} & \frac{\rho\gamma}{\rho+\gamma s^2}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\rho}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\\ \frac{\rho+\gamma s^2+\gamma s}{2(\rho+\gamma s^2)}\end{pmatrix}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

if and only if $\Xi_\rho^2\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\right)-\Xi_\rho^2\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)=0$.

Proof. For the first equivalence we use 1.1, for the second formula 2.12 we used 2.10. □

***Proposition* 2.1.2.** *Let* $\Re(\rho+\alpha s^2)>0<\Re(\alpha)$ *and* $\Re(\alpha s)<\sqrt{\Re(\alpha)\Re(\rho+\alpha s^2)}$. $\forall n\in\mathbb{Z}$*:*

$$\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho+\alpha s^2 & \alpha s\\ \alpha s & \alpha\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1-16i\pi(1+2n)\alpha s}{2}\\ \frac{1-16i\pi(1+2n)\alpha}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)=\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho+\alpha s^2 & -\alpha s\\ -\alpha s & \alpha\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1-16i\pi(1+2n)\alpha s}{2}\\ \frac{1+16i\pi(1+2n)\alpha}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{2.13}$$

if and only if $\Xi_\rho\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\right)+\Xi_\rho\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)=0$.

Observe with the Möbius-transformations

$$\alpha(\gamma)=\gamma\rho/(\rho+\gamma s^2)\qquad\text{and}\qquad\gamma(\alpha)=\alpha\rho/(\rho-\alpha s^2)$$

we can transform from the 2×2 matrices appearing in 2.13 and 2.1.1 respectively, the convenient second choice yields that $\Xi_\rho^2\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\right)-\Xi_\rho^2\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)=0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{1}(-1)^i\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho+\alpha s^2 & \alpha s\\ \alpha s & \alpha\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+(-1)^i\frac{\alpha}{\rho}s^2}{2}\\ \frac{1+(-1)^i\frac{\alpha}{\rho}s}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{1}(-1)^i\Xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho+\alpha s^2 & -\alpha s\\ -\alpha s & \alpha\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+(-1)^i\frac{\alpha}{\rho}s^2}{2}\\ \frac{1-(-1)^i\frac{\alpha}{\rho}s}{2}\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{2.14}$$

Notice the arguments of the integrals in 2.1.2 and 2.14 agree for $s=16i\pi\rho(1+2\mathbb{Z})$ in this case we have 2.1.2 $\Rightarrow$ 2.14. If moreover $\Xi_\rho\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\right)+\Xi_\rho\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)=0\Rightarrow s\in 16i\pi\rho(1+2\mathbb{Z})$ would hold the zeros of $\Xi_\rho(s)+\Xi_\rho(1-s)$ and $\Xi_\rho(s)-\Xi_\rho(1-s)$ would be interlacing, some evidence for the strategy that the Hermite-Biehler theorem could get used to show $\Xi_\rho(s)=0\Rightarrow\Re(s)<1/2$.

3 *The operators* $\mathrm{id}+4t\partial_t$ *and* $2t^2\partial_t^2+3t\partial_t$

Consider the operators $(H_\alpha\Psi)(t):=(\Psi+\alpha t\Psi')(t)$. The function $(H_4\Psi)(t)$ satisfies a functional equation similar to 2.8, for instance $(H_4\Psi)(t)=-t^{-1/2}(H_4\Psi)(1/t)-(t^{-1/2}+1)/2$ and clearly the previous calculations are with some sign changes also in some sense valid for the family of integrals where we partially replace Ψ by $H_4\Psi$. Also observe for appropriate test functions ψ

$$\mathcal{M}\big[(H_\alpha\psi)e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\big](s/2)=\Big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\,\mathrm{id}\Big)\,\mathcal{M}\big[\psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\big](s/2)+4\alpha\rho\partial_s\mathcal{M}\big[\psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\big](s/2) \quad (3.1)$$

holds, hence $\mathcal{M}\big[(H_\alpha\Psi)\exp(-\rho\ln^2(t))\big](s/2)=(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\,\mathrm{id})\Xi_\rho(s)+4\alpha\rho\partial_s\Xi_\rho(s)$.

Let us here briefly comment on a familiar class of integrals where we substitute in 0.4 the function $\Psi(t)$ by the Jensen function $\left(2t^2\Psi''+3t\Psi'\right)(t)$ for instance

$$\xi(\rho,\vec{s}\,):=\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}t_1}{t_1}\cdots\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}t_d}{t_d}\left(\prod_{i=1}^d t_i^{s_i/2}(\Delta_4\Psi)(t_i)\right)e^{-\sum_{1\le i,j\le d}\rho_{ij}\ln(t_i)\ln(t_j)} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Delta_\alpha:=H_\alpha^2-\mathrm{id}$, for instance $(\Delta_4\Psi)(t):=8\left(2t^2\Psi''+3t\Psi'\right)(t)$. If the integrals 3.2 are convergent the conjugation $\overline{\xi(\rho,\vec{s})}=\xi(\overline{\rho},\overline{\vec{s}})$ is valid and we have a tedious symmetry:

$$\xi\left(\rho,\vec{s}\,\right)=\xi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&.&-\rho_{1k}&.&\rho_{1d}\\.&.&.&.&.\\-\rho_{1k}&.&\rho_{kk}&.&-\rho_{kd}\\.&.&.&.&.\\\rho_{1d}&.&-\rho_{kd}&.&\rho_{dd}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}s_1\\.\\1-s_k\\.\\s_d\end{pmatrix}\right) \quad (3.3)$$

where the matrix on the r.h.s is obtained from ρ by acting on ρ_{ik} by a sign if $i\neq k$ and acting on all other matrix entries by the identity: The function $\Delta_4\Psi$ is one of the motivations for the work of Pólya, Brujin and Newman [2], we have $(\Delta_4\Psi)(\cdot)=t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta_4\Psi)\,(1/t)$. This shows that $\Delta_4\Psi$ does decay rapidly at ∞ and also at 0 while $\Psi(t)$ has a $t^{-1/2}/2$ singularity. Also observe that iteration of 3.1 yields the identification

$$\mathcal{M}\big[(\Delta_4\Psi)e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\big](s/2)=4s(s-1-8\rho)\Xi_\rho(s)+32\rho(1-2s)\partial_s\Xi_\rho(s)+(16\rho)^2\partial_s^2\Xi_\rho(s) \quad (3.4)$$

The first-order differential equation 3.1 essentially descends from the heat equation and can be "solved"by a standard method. To "solve"3.1 will also help to tackle the more involved second order equation 3.4:

***Proposition* 3.0.3.** *For $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}\setminus 0$ and $z\in\mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\\
&=e^{\frac{-z^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}z}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4\alpha\rho}\int_z^s\mathrm{d}t\;e^{\frac{-t^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\\
&=e^{\frac{-z^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}z}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\left(e^{\frac{1-\frac{4}{\alpha}(\frac{\alpha}{2}-1)x}{16\rho}}-e^{\frac{\frac{4}{\alpha}x}{16\rho}}\right)\Big|_z^s\\
&+e^{\frac{\frac{4}{\alpha}-1}{16\rho}}\frac{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}{4\alpha\rho}\int_{1-z}^{1-s}\mathrm{d}t\;e^{\frac{-t^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_{\frac{\alpha}{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}}\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equals just assumes that Ψ is well behaved for integration and relies on 3.1 and holds for arbitrary test functions. The second equals used $\Psi(t)=t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\,(1/t)+(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}-1)/2$. □

***Corollary* 3.0.4.** *If* $e^{\frac{-z^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}z}{16\rho}}\Xi_\rho(z) = e^{\frac{-z'^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}z'}{16\rho}}\Xi_\rho(z')$ *for* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus 0$ *then we have the vanishing* $\int_z^{z'} \mathrm{d}t\; e^{\frac{-t^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_\alpha\Psi\big]\big(\frac{t}{2}\big) = 0$.

The proposition 3.0.5 seems more convenient but also the procedure 3.0.3 can be iterated, for example if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus 0$ and $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 = \mathcal{M}\big[(H_\alpha^{i-1}\Psi)e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{z_i}{2}\right)$ for $1 \le i \le n$ we find

$$\Xi_\rho(s) = \frac{1}{(4\alpha\rho)^n} e^{\frac{s^2-\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}} \int_{z_1}^{s}\mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{z_2}^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2 \cdots \int_{z_n}^{t_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}t_n\; e^{\frac{-t_n^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}t_n}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_\alpha^n\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t_n}{2}\right)$$

***Proposition* 3.0.5.** *For* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus 0$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *we have*

$$\left(\mathrm{id} - (4\alpha\rho)^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\right)\left(e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\right) = e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We have $4\alpha\rho\partial_s\Big(e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\Big) = e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ by 3.0.3, then we iterate the result. □

A convenient fundamental system of solutions φ of the corresponding homogenous equation $\left(\mathrm{id} - (4\alpha\rho)^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\right)\varphi = 0$ are the translated hyperbolic functions $\sinh\left(\frac{\beta_1 - s}{4\alpha\rho}\right)$ and $\cosh\left(\frac{\beta_2 - s}{4\alpha\rho}\right)$ where $\beta_1 \notin \beta_2 + \pi\mathrm{i}4\alpha\rho(\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z})$. The general solution of the non-homogeneous linear differential equation 3.0.5 can be obtained with a method of Lagrange, known as variation of parameters:

***Theorem* 3.0.6.** *For* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\vec{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^2$ *with* $\beta_1 \notin \beta_2 + \pi\mathrm{i}4\alpha\rho(\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z})$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) =& A_\rho(\vec{\beta},\alpha,z)\sinh\left(\frac{\beta_1 - s}{4\alpha\rho}\right) + B_\rho(\vec{\beta},\alpha,z)\cosh\left(\frac{\beta_2 - s}{4\alpha\rho}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\alpha\rho}\int_z^s \mathrm{d}t\; \sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{4\alpha\rho}\right) e^{\frac{-t^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $A_\rho(\vec{\beta},\alpha,z)$ *and* $B_\rho(\vec{\beta},\alpha,z)$ *are given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_\rho(\vec{\beta},\alpha,z) \\ B_\rho(\vec{\beta},\alpha,z)\end{pmatrix} = \frac{e^{\frac{-z^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}z}{16\rho}}}{\cosh\left(\frac{\beta_2-\beta_1}{4\alpha\rho}\right)}\begin{pmatrix} \sinh\left(\frac{\beta_2 - z}{4\alpha\rho}\right) & -\cosh\left(\frac{\beta_2 - z}{4\alpha\rho}\right) \\ -\cosh\left(\frac{\beta_1 - z}{4\alpha\rho}\right) & \sinh\left(\frac{\beta_1 - z}{4\alpha\rho}\right)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Psi\big]\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \\ \mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\end{pmatrix} \tag{3.7}$$

We seek to close the system with 0.2 instead of 3.7, *i.e.* we compute the skew-symmetric part of $\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ with respect to the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$ and to do this it is practical to choose $\alpha = 4$: We have $(\Delta_\alpha\Psi)(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Delta_\alpha\Psi(1/t) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-4)}{4}\left(H_4\Psi(1/t) + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) =& \mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha(\alpha-4)}{4}\left(\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}e^{\frac{(s-1)^2}{16\rho}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We define $\chi_\rho(\varphi,\alpha,s,z) := \int_z^s \mathrm{d}t\; e^{\frac{-t^2+\varphi t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$ and have

$$\int_z^s \mathrm{d}t\; \sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{4\alpha\rho}\right) e^{\frac{-t^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = e^{\frac{s}{4\alpha\rho}}\chi_\rho(0,\alpha,s,z) - e^{\frac{-s}{4\alpha\rho}}\chi_\rho\left(\frac{8}{\alpha},\alpha,s,z\right)$$

Clearly we have $\chi_\rho(\varphi,\alpha,s,z)=-\chi_\rho(\varphi,\alpha,z,s)$ and the transitivity $\chi_\rho(\varphi,\alpha,s,y)+\chi_\rho(\varphi,\alpha,y,z)=\chi_\rho(\varphi,\alpha,s,z)$. With 3.8 we obtain the transformation law

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\rho(\varphi,\alpha,s,z)=-e^{\frac{\varphi-1}{16\rho}}\Bigg[&\chi_\rho(2-\varphi,\alpha,1-s,1-z)\\&+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-4)}{4}\Bigg(\int_{1-z}^{1-s}\mathrm{d}t\; e^{\frac{-t^2+(2-\varphi)t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\\&\qquad+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\begin{cases}\frac{16\rho}{(2-\varphi)}e^{\frac{(2-\varphi)x}{16\rho}} & \text{if } \varphi\neq 2\\ x & \text{if } \varphi=2\end{cases}\Bigg|_{1-z}^{1-s}\Bigg)\Bigg]\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

and 3.0.3 implies $\chi_\rho(1,\alpha,s,z)+\chi_\rho(1,\alpha,1-s,1-z)=16\rho\frac{\alpha(4-\alpha)}{4}\Big(e^{\frac{-x^2+x}{16\rho}}\Xi_\rho(1-x)-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}e^{\frac{x}{16\rho}}\Big)\Big|_{1-z}^{1-s}$.

***Theorem* 3.0.7.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)=&\frac{e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)+e^{\frac{1}{64\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\\&+\frac{1}{16\rho}\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\;\sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Proof. In particular for $\alpha=4$ formula 3.9 reduces to

$$\int_z^s\mathrm{d}t\;\sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=\int_{1-z}^{1-s}\mathrm{d}t\;\sinh\left(\frac{1-s-t}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\tag{3.11}$$

Hence with 0.2 we find

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\frac{e^{\frac{1-s}{16\rho}}-e^{\frac{s}{16\rho}}}{2}=&A_\rho(\vec{\beta},4,z)\sinh\left(\frac{\beta_1-s}{16\rho}\right)+B_\rho(\vec{\beta},4,z)\cosh\left(\frac{\beta_2-s}{16\rho}\right)\\&-A_\rho(\vec{\beta}',4,1-z)\sinh\left(\frac{\beta_1'-1+s}{16\rho}\right)-B_\rho(\vec{\beta}',4,1-z)\cosh\left(\frac{\beta_2'-1+s}{16\rho}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$A_\rho\Big(\vec{\beta},4,z\Big)\,e^{\frac{\beta_1-1}{16\rho}}+A_\rho\Big(\vec{\beta}',4,1-z\Big)\,e^{\frac{-\beta_1'}{16\rho}}+B_\rho\Big(\vec{\beta},4,z\Big)\,e^{\frac{\beta_2-1}{16\rho}}-B_\rho\Big(\vec{\beta}',4,1-z\Big)\,e^{\frac{-\beta_2'}{16\rho}}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\Big/2$$

In particular if we choose the values $\beta=\beta'$ and $z=1/2$ the previous equation is equivalent to the constraint

$$A_\rho\big(\vec{\beta},4,1/2\big)\big(e^{\frac{\beta_1-1}{16\rho}}+e^{\frac{-\beta_1}{16\rho}}\big)+B_\rho\big(\vec{\beta},4,1/2\big)\big(e^{\frac{\beta_2-1}{16\rho}}-e^{\frac{-\beta_2}{16\rho}}\big)=\sqrt{\pi/\rho}/2$$

We are also forced by 3.0.5 to the constraint

$$e^{\frac{1}{64\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}\left(A_\rho(\vec{\beta},4,1/2)\left(e^{\frac{\beta_1-1}{16\rho}}-e^{\frac{-\beta_1}{16\rho}}\right)+B_\rho(\vec{\beta},4,1/2)\left(e^{\frac{\beta_2-1}{16\rho}}+e^{\frac{-\beta_2}{16\rho}}\right)\right)$$

The two previous constraints now determine $A_\rho(\vec{\beta},4,1/2)$ and $B_\rho(\vec{\beta},4,1/2)$, in particular we obtain the normalisation in the theorem for $\vec{\beta}=(1/2,1/2)$.

Also observe we have the inequality $\Xi_\rho(r)=\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)>0$ for $r\in\mathbb{R}$. □

Analogous to 3.0.8 we obtain for $\tilde{\Xi}_\rho(s) := \mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ the formula

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho}}\tilde{\Xi}_\rho(s) =& C_\rho \sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right) - \frac{e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{16\rho}\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\ \sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(t)}\Delta_4 H_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where the remaining integral is skew-symmetric with respect to $s\to 1-s$ and we have $(\Delta_4 H_4\Psi) = 16(4t^3\partial_t^3\Psi + 15t^2\partial_t^2\Psi + 7t\partial_t\Psi)(t)$. The parameter C_ρ is determined by evaluating at some point $\neq 1/2 + 16\rho\pi\mathrm{i}\mathbb{Z}$ and it is easier calculate with $4\alpha\rho\partial_s\big(e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\big) = e^{\frac{-s^2+\frac{4}{\alpha}s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}H_\alpha\Psi\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ and identify $\exp(\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho})\tilde{\Xi}_\rho(s)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}=& -\frac{e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right) - e^{\frac{1}{64\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{16\rho}\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\ \cosh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

The addition theorems $\sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right) = -\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-t}{16\rho}\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-t}{16\rho}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)$ and $\cosh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right) = \cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-t}{16\rho}\right) - \sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-t}{16\rho}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)$ allow to slightly rewrite 3.0.8 and 3.13 in

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho}}\Xi_\rho(s) &= \Big[\frac{e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}} - \mathrm{a}_\rho^+(s)\Big]\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right) + \Big[e^{\frac{1}{64\rho}}\Xi_\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \mathrm{a}_\rho^-(s)\Big]\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\\ e^{\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho}}\tilde{\Xi}_\rho(s) &= -\Big[e^{\frac{1}{64\rho}}\Xi_\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \mathrm{a}_\rho^-(s)\Big]\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right) - \Big[\frac{e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}} - \mathrm{a}_\rho^+(s)\Big]\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathrm{a}_\rho^\pm(s) := \frac{1}{32\rho}\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\Big(e^{\frac{\frac{1}{2}-t}{16\rho}} \pm e^{\frac{t-\frac{1}{2}}{16\rho}}\Big)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

and we have $\mathrm{a}_\rho^\pm(s) = \mp\mathrm{a}_\rho^\pm(1-s)$.

Also 3.0.6 is an iterative formalism if we apply the same procedure with Ψ replaced by $\Delta_\alpha\Psi$, more precise we have the following formulas:

***Theorem* 3.0.8.** *Set* $\mathcal{P}_\rho^0(s) = \cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)$, $\mathcal{P}_\rho^1(s) := \int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-t}{16\rho}\right)$ *and* $\mathcal{I}_\rho^1(s) := \int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4^n\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$ *and define* $\mathcal{P}_\rho^n(s)$ *and* $\mathcal{I}_\rho^n(s)$ *for* $n>2$ *by*

$$\mathcal{P}_\rho^n(s) := \int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t_1\sinh\left(\frac{s-t_1}{16\rho}\right)\int_{1/2}^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\sinh\left(\frac{t_1-t_2}{16\rho}\right)\cdots\int_{1/2}^{t_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}t_n\sinh\left(\frac{t_{n-1}-t_n}{16\rho}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-t_n}{16\rho}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_\rho^n(s) := \int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t_1\sinh\left(\frac{s-t_1}{16\rho}\right)\cdots\int_{1/2}^{t_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}t_n\ \sinh\left(\frac{t_{n-1}-t_n}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t_n^2+t_n}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4^n\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t_n}{2}\right)$$

We have $\mathcal{I}_\rho^n(1-s) = \mathcal{I}_\rho^n(s)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_\rho^n(1-s) = \mathcal{P}_\rho^n(s)$ *and for* $n>1$ *the formula*

$$e^{\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[\Psi e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{32\rho}}}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\rho}}\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4^i\Psi\big]\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{(16\rho)^i}\mathcal{P}_\rho^i(s) + \frac{\mathcal{I}_\rho^n(s)}{(16\rho)^n}$$

Proof. The equation $\Delta_4\Psi(t) = t^{-1/2}(\Delta_\alpha)\Psi(1/t)$ implies

$$e^{\frac{-s^2+s}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4^{n+1}\Psi\big]\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) = e^{\frac{1}{64\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4^{n+1}\Psi\big]\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right) \tag{3.14}$$
$$+\frac{1}{16\rho}\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t\ \sinh\left(\frac{s-t}{16\rho}\right)e^{\frac{-t^2+t}{16\rho}}\mathcal{M}\big[e^{-\rho\ln^2(\cdot)}\Delta_4^{n+2}\Psi\big]\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

Set $\mathcal{P}_\rho^n = 0$ if $n < 0$ and notice the iterated integrals $\mathcal{P}_\rho^n$ satisfy $\big(\mathrm{id} - (16\rho)^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\big)\mathcal{P}_\rho^n(s) = 16\rho\mathcal{P}_\rho^{n-1}(s)$, hence $\big(\mathrm{id} - (16\rho)^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\big)^{n+1}\mathcal{P}_\rho^n(s) = 0$ and this implies that $\mathcal{P}_\rho^n(s) = p_\rho^A(s)\sinh\big(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\big) + p_\rho^B(s)\cosh\big(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\big)$ where $p_\rho^A(s) = -p_\rho^A(1-s)$ and $p_\rho^B(s) = p_\rho^B(1-s)$ are polynomials of degree $< n+1$. $\mathcal{P}_\rho^n(s)$ can be computed in principle, we have for example the calculation $\mathcal{P}_\rho^1(s) = \cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t_1\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{1-2t_1}{16\rho}\right)}{2} - \sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)\int_{1/2}^{s}\mathrm{d}t_1\frac{1+\cosh\left(\frac{1-2t_1}{16\rho}\right)}{2} = \frac{(\frac{1}{2}-s)\sinh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right) - 8\rho\cosh\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{16\rho}\right)}{2}$. □

References

[1] N.G. de Brujin, The Roots of Trigonometric Integrals Duke Math. J. **17** (1), 197-226, (1950)

[2] G. Csordas, T. S. Norfolk, and R. S. Varga, A lower bound for the de Bruijn-Newman constant ρ, Numer. Math **52**, 483-497, (1988)

[3] N. Katz and P. Sarnak; Zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry, Bulletin of the AMS, Vol. **36**, 1-26. (1999)

[4] J. C. Lagarias and E. Rains; On a two-variable zeta function for number fields, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 53 , no. **1**, 168, (2003)

[5] J. Löffler, On self-adjointness of Poisson summation, arxiv, (2015)

[6] C.M. Newman, Fourier transforms with only real zeros, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **61**, 245-251, (1976)

[7] G. Pólya, Über die funktionentheoretischen Untersuchungen von J.L.W.V. Jensen, Kgl. Danske Vid. Sel. Math-Fys. Medd. **7**, 3-33, (1927)

[8] G. Pólya, Über trigonometrische Integrale mit nur reelen Nullstellen, Journal für die reine angewandte Mathematik, vol. **158**, 6-18, (1927)

[9] M. Suzuki, Nearest neighbor spacing distributions for the zeros of the real or imaginary part of the Riemann xi-function on vertical lines, Acta Arith. 170, no. **1**, 47-65, (2015)

[10] M. Suzuki, A canonical system of differential equations arising from the Riemann zeta-function, Functions in Number Theory and Their Probabilistic Aspects , 397-436, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu B34, RIMS, Kyoto, (2012)

[11] M. Suzuki, An inverse problem for a class of canonical systems and its applications to self-reciprocal polynomials, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1308.0228v1.pdf, (2013)

© Copyright by Johannes Löffler, 2015, All Rights Reserved